Lithium, titanium and vanadium dithiocarboxylates †

Christina Ives, Elaine L. Fillis and John R. Hagadorn *

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Colorado Boulder, CO 80309-0215, USA

Received 30th October 2002, Accepted 6th January 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 17th January 2003

Reaction of the *in situ* generated lithium aryls 2,6-Mes₂C₆H₃Li and 2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃Li (Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl, Trip = 2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl) with CS₂ afforded the lithium dithiocarboxylates $[2,6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃CS₂]Li and $[2,6$ -Trip**2**C**6**H**3**CS**2**]Li in good yields. These sterically-hindered S-donor ligands proved useful for the salt-metathesis preparation of the paramagnetic Ti(III) and V(III) derivatives $[2,6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃CS₂]TiCl₂(thf)₂ and $[2,6$ -Trip₂C₆H₃- CS_2 ₂VCl(L)₂ (L = thf, py). Similarly, reaction of [2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃CS₂]Li with TiCl₄(thf)₂ in the presence of py afforded the diamagnetic $Ti(V)$ [2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃CS₂]₂TiCl₂(py). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies are reported for [2,6- $\text{Mes}_2C_6H_3\text{[Li(OEt}_2)_2$, [2,6-Mes₂C₆H₃CS₂]TiCl₂(thf)₂, [2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃CS₂]TiCl₂(py), and [2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃CS₂]₂VCl(py)₂.

Introduction

Coordination complexes supported by sulfur-donor ligands have attracted significant interest due to their interesting electronic and structural properties.**¹** These complexes have also frequently been studied in the context of biomimetic chemistry, as metalloproteins featuring metal centers supported by naturally-occurring S-donors perform diverse roles in biology (*e.g*. catalysis, electron transfer, metal sequestration).**²** We have begun exploring extremely sterically-hindered S-donor ligands in the hope that they will allow us to access reactive lowcoordination number transition-metal derivatives. In this initial report, we describe two new dithiocarboxylate ligands, based on a versatile terphenyl backbone,**³** and their use in the synthesis of mononuclear titanium and vanadium derivatives.

Results and discussion

Lithium salts of the bulky dithiocarboxylate anions [2,6- $\text{Mes}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{CS}_2$ ⁻ and $[2,6\text{-}\text{Trip}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{CS}_2]$ ⁻ (Mes = 2,4,6-Me₃C₆- H_2 , Trip = 2,4,6⁻ⁱPr₃C₆H₂) were prepared by the reaction of CS₂ with the corresponding aryllithium⁴ species (Scheme 1). The

Scheme 1 Ar = 2,6-Mes₂C₆H₃, 2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃; L = py, thf. Reagents and conditions: (i) CS_2 , Et_2O –hexanes, -70 °C; (ii) $TiCl_3(thf)_3$, toluene, rt; (iii) TiCl**4**(thf)**2**, toluene, py, rt; (iv) VCl**3**(thf)**3**, toluene, L, rt.

yellow to orange products were isolated in moderate (*ca*. 55%) yields as simple Lewis base adducts, and their compositions were determined by a combination of NMR spectroscopy and combustion analysis. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of [2,6-Mes**2**C**6**H**3**CS**2**]Li(OEt**2**)**2** was also performed. As shown in Fig. 1, the dithiocarboxylate anion binds to a single Li-center as a symmetric chelate, with Li1–S1 and Li1–S2 distances of

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: additional crystallographic details. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b2/b210691d/

S₂ $C₁$ S₁

Fig. 1 Molecular structure of $[2,6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃]Li(OEt₂)₂ drawn with 50% thermal ellipsoids. All hydrogens and the minor components of the disordered Et₂O molecules are omitted.

2.467(6) and 2.480(6) Å, respectively (Table 1). The C–S bonds also reflect this binding mode, with essentially identical distances of 1.68 Å. Snaith and coworkers have reported the only other structurally characterized lithium dithiocarboxylates.**⁵** For comparison, the structure of $[(2-MeC_4H_2N_2)CH_2CS_2]$ -Li(tmeda) (tmeda = N, N, N', N' -tetramethylethylenediamine) features an asymmetrically chelating dithiocarboxylate anion with much longer Li–S distances of 2.554(4) and 2.688(5) \AA . Also of interest, a series of heavy alkali metal (K–Cs) dithiocarboxylates has been reported to adopt bimetallic structures featuring µ-dithiocarboxylate anions.**⁶** Structures of related Li dithiocarbamate⁷ and trithiocarbonate⁸ have also been reported.

The Li dithiocarboxylates have proven to be useful reagents for the preparation of a range of transition-metal derivatives. Reaction of $[2,6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃CS₂]Li(Et₂O)₂ with 1 equiv TiCl₃- $(thf)_3$ immediately forms a teal solution. The mononuclear Ti(III) species $[2,6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃CS₂]TiCl₂(thf)₂ was isolated in 36% yield from Et**2**O solution. Solution magnetic susceptibility measurements gave a $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.6(1) \mu_{\text{B}}$, which is consistent with a d**1** metal center. The solid-state structure is shown in Fig. 2. The pseudo-octahedral Ti is ligated to a symmetrically chelating dithiocarboxylate, with the pair of chloride ligands being *trans* to the S-donors. The remaining two coordination sites are occupied by a pair of thf molecules. The small S–Ti–S bite angle of $68.26(3)^\circ$ results in the relatively large Cl–Ti–Cl angle of $111.23(4)$ °. The Ti–S distances of 2.554(1) and 2.552(1) are

$[2,6$ -Mes ₂ C ₆ H ₃]Li(OEt ₂) ₂			
$Li1-S1$	2.467(6)	S1–Li1–S2	73.77(15)
$Li1-S2$	2.480(6)	S1–Li1–O1	119.8(3)
$Li1-O1$	1.919(9)	S1–Li1–O2	112.2(3)
Li1–O2	1.905(9)	S2–Li1–O1	114.5(3)
S1–C1		$S2-Li1-O2$	
$S2-C1$	1.684(3)	$O1-Li1-O2$	120.1(4)
	1.676(3)		111.9(3)
$[2,6-Mes2C6H3]TiCl2(thf)2$			
Til–Sl	2.552(1)	$S1-Ti1-O2$	91.65(6)
$Ti1-S2$	2.554(1)	S2–Ti1–Cl1	157.87(3)
Ti1-C11	2.325(1)	$S2-Ti1-C12$	90.91(3)
Ti1–Cl2	2.331(1)	$S2-Ti1-O1$	91.88(6)
$Ti1-O1$	2.085(2)	$S2-Ti1-O2$	92.23(6)
$T1-02$	2.089(2)	Cl1-Ti1-Cl2	111.23(4)
S1–C1	1.679(3)	Cl1–Ti1–O1	88.64(6)
S2–C1	1.691(1)	Cl1-Ti1-O2	87.89(6)
S1–Ti1–S2	68.26(3)	$Cl2-Ti1-O1$	88.63(7)
S1–Ti1–Cl1	89.61(4)	$Cl2-Ti1-O2$	87.89(6)
S1–Ti1–Cl2	159.14(3)	$O1-Ti1-O2$	174.66(7)
S1–Ti1–O1	93.05(6)		
$[2,6-Trip2C6H3]2TiCl2(py)$			
$Ti1-S1$	2.5624(8)	$S2-Ti1-S4$	139.85(3)
$Ti1-S2$	2.5302(8)	S2–Ti1–Cl1	93.17(3)
Ti1–S3	2.5190(8)	$S2-Ti1-C12$	88.03(3)
$Ti1-S4$	2.5484(8)	$S2-Ti1-N1$	143.77(6)
Ti1–Cl1	2.3045(8)	S3–Ti1–S4	67.68(2)
Ti1–Cl2	2.2867(9)	$S3-Ti1-C11$	87.79(3)
Til–Nl	2.277(2)	$S3-Ti1-C12$	93.77(3)
S1–Ti1–S2	67.30(2)	S3–Ti1–N1	143.44(6)
S1–Ti1–S3	139.36(3)	S4–Ti1–Cl1	92.05(3)
S1–Ti1–S4	152.78(3)	$S4-Ti1-C12$	87.83(3)
S1–Ti1–Cl1	87.05(3)	$S4-Ti1-N1$	
			76.07(6)
S1–Ti1–Cl2	92.26(3)	$Cl1-Ti1-C12$	178.27(3)
S1–Ti1–N1	76.71(6)	$Cl1-Ti1-N1$	88.93(7)
$S2-Ti1-S3$	72.79(2)	$Cl2-Ti1-N1$	89.36(7)
$[2,6-Trip2C6H3]2VCl(py)2$			
V1–S1	2.5425(9)	S2–V1–S4	156.22(3)
V1–S2	2.5498(8)	S2–V1–C11	79.21(3)
$V1-S3$	2.5489(9)	S2-V1-N1	90.95(6)
V1–S4	2.5380(9)	$S2-V1-N2$	86.76(6)
V1–C11	2.4056(8)	$S3-V1-S4$	67.10(3)
Vl–Nl	2.154(2)	S3–V1–C11	141.24(3)
V1–N2	2.167(2)	$S3-V1-N1$	101.37(6)
S1-V1-S2	67.07(3)	$S3-V1-N2$	82.49(7)
$S1-V1-S3$	73.65(3)	S4–V1–C11	77.64(3)
$S1-V1-S4$	134.37(3)	S4-V1-N1	83.65(6)
S1–V1–C11	145.06(3)	S4-V1-N2	97.17(7)
S1-V1-N1	82.12(7)	Cl1-V1-N1	89.83(6)
S1–V1–N2	99.92(7)	Cl1–V1–N2	86.58(7)
$S2-V1-S3$	136.64(3)	$N1-V1-N2$	176.05(9)

Table 1 Selected bond lengths (A) and angles (\degree) for structurally characterized compounds

identical, and are comparable to the average Ti–S distances reported for the Ti(IV) species Ti(S₂CNEt₂)₄ (2.564 Å),⁹ $CpTi(S_2CMe)$ ₃ (2.603 Å),¹⁰ and $CpTi(S_2CNMe)$ ₃ (2.611 Å).¹¹ Although $[2,6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃CS₂]TiCl₂(thf)₂ would be expected to have relatively long Ti–S bonds as a result of its larger ionic radius, its lower coordination number relative to the aforementioned Ti (IV) complexes offsets this effect.

The Ti(IV) $[2,6-Trip_2C_6H_3CS_2]_2TiCl_2(py)$ was prepared by the reaction of 2 equiv $[2,6-Trip_2C_6H_3CS_2]Li(thf)_3$ with $TiCl_4(thf)_2$ in the presence of excess py. The diamagnetic product was isolated in good yield as red crystals from Et₂O solution. ¹H NMR spectroscopic data indicated a symmetric or fluxional environment, and integration data and combustion analysis revealed a 2 : 1 ratio of dithiocarboxylate to py. The solid-state structure features a 7-coordinate Ti center in an approximate pentagonal bipyramidal environment (Fig. 3). The equatorial ligands include a pair of chelating dithiocarboxylates and the py. The *trans* chlorides occupy the axial positions. In contrast to the aforementioned Li and $Ti(III)$ complexes, the binding of the

Fig. 2 Molecular structure of $[2,6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃]TiCl₂(thf)₂ drawn with 50% thermal ellipsoids. All hydrogens are omitted.

Fig. 3 Molecular structure of $[2.6 - Trip_2C_6H_3]$, $TiCl_2(py)$ drawn with 50% thermal ellipsoids. All hydrogens and the minor components of the disordered **ⁱ** Pr-groups are omitted.

dithiocarboxylates in $[2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃CS₂]₂TiCl₂(py)$ is asymmetric. The Ti bonds to $S(1)$ and $S(4)$ (ave 2.555 Å) are about 0.03 Å longer than those to $S(2)$ and $S(3)$. This is explained as a small *trans* influence arising from the weaker donor properties of py relative to the S-donors.

Reaction of two equiv $[2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃CS₂]Li(thf)₃$ with VCl₃- (thf) in toluene solution formed a dark burgundy solution. Following work up in hexanes–HMDSO (hexamethyldisiloxane), $[2,6-\text{Trip}_2C_6H_3CS_2]_2VCl(thf)_2$ was isolated in 28% yield as small burgundy crystals. The low isolated yield for this reaction appears to be due to the extreme solubility of the product in hydrocarbon solvents. A slightly higher yield was achieved for the less soluble py adduct [2,6-Trip**2**C**6**H**3**CS**2**]**2**- VCl(py)**2**. Combustion analysis data were consistent with the formulations, and IR spectroscopy revealed the presence of py $(v_{py} = 1604, 1580, 1566$ cm⁻¹) in [2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃CS₂]₂VCl(py)₂. Both complexes are paramagnetic with ambient temperature solution magnetic moments $(2.8-2.9 \mu_B)$ consistent with d**2** electronic configurations. The solid-state structure determination of [2,6-Trip**2**C**6**H**3**CS**2**]**2**VCl(py)**2** confirmed the assignment of the product. As shown in Fig. 4, the V center approximates a pentagonal bipyramidal geometry, with the two py ligands occupying the axial positions. The V–S bond lengths are all

Fig. 4 Molecular structure of $[2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃]$ ₂VCl(py)₂ drawn with 50% thermal ellipsoids. All hydrogens and the minor component of a disordered **ⁱ** Pr-group are omitted.

very similar with an average value of 2.545 Å. To our knowledge, there are no other structurally characterized $V(III)$ dithiocarboxylates or dithiocarbamates. The only other such V dithiocarboxylates are the pseudo-dodechaderal V(SCPh)**4** and V(SCH**2**Ph)**4** which feature average V–S distances of 2.49 and 2.50 Å, respectively.**¹²**

In summary, we have reported a pair of new stericallyhindered dithiocarboxylate ligands. These have proven to be useful for the preparation of a range of early transition metal derivatives by salt-metathesis methodology. As a result of the bulky 2,6-diaryl-substituted ligands, these Ti and V complexes feature only one or two dithiocarboxylates per metal. This is a feature not commonly observed in early-metal dithiocarboxylate or dithiocarbamate coordination chemistry.

Experimental

General considerations

Standard techniques for air-sensitive chemical manipulations were used unless stated otherwise.¹³ 2,6-Mes₂C₆H₃I (Mes = $2,4,6$ -Me₃C₆H₂),¹⁴ 2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃I (Trip = 2,4,6-ⁱPr₃C₆H₂),¹⁵ $TiCl₃(thf)₃$, $TiCl₄(thf)₄$, and $VCl₃(thf)₃$ were prepared following literature procedures.¹⁶ Hexanes, Et₂O, toluene, tetrahydrofuran (thf), and CH**2**Cl**2** were passed through columns of activated alumina and sparged with N_2 prior to use. Hexamethyldisiloxane (HMDSO) and pyridine (py) were distilled from Na under N₂. BuLi was purchased from commercial sources and used as received. CDCl₃ was vacuum transferred from CaH₂. Chemical shifts (δ) for ¹H-NMR spectra are given relative to residual protium in the deuterated solvent at δ 7.24 for CDCl₃. **13**C{¹H}-NMR spectra are given relative to ¹³CDCl₃ at δ 77.00. Infrared spectra were taken as mineral oil mulls between KBr plates unless stated otherwise. Elemental analyses were determined by Desert Analytics. Solution magnetic moments were determined at ambient temperature by **¹** H NMR spectroscopy using Evans' method.**¹⁷**

Syntheses

 $[2,6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃CS₂ $]$ Li(Et₂O)₂. Hexanes (150 mL) and Et₂O (75 mL) were added to 2,6-Mes₂C₆H₃I (15.6 g, 35.3 mmol) to form a colorless suspension. At -20 °C, a hexanes solution of BuLi (20.4 mL, 35.3 mmol) was added dropwise over 30 min and the suspension was stirred for an additional 30 min. At -70 °C, CS₂ (2.34 mL, 38.8 mmol) was added dropwise, and the

dark solution was allowed to warm to ambient temperature over several hours. After stirring overnight, the resulting red solution was concentrated slightly and cooled to -40 °C. The product was isolated as orange crystals, which were washed with hexanes (2×60 mL) and dried under reduced pressure (9.0 g, 52%). **¹** H NMR (2% CD**3**CN in CDCl**3**): δ 7.14 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, p -C₆H₃), 6.83 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, m -C₆H₃), 6.72 (s, 4H, *m*-Mes), 3.38 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 9H, *Et***2**O), 2.18 (s, 6H, *p*-Mes), 2.12 (s, 12H, *o*-Mes), 1.10 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 12H, *Et***2**O). **¹³**C{**¹** H} NMR (2% CD**3**CN in CDCl**3**): δ 152.6, 138.8, 137.4, 135.3, 135.1, 128.6, 127.1, 125.8, 116.4, 65.7, 21.5, 21.0, 15.0. IR: 1461 (vs), 1446 (s), 1376 (s), 1216 (m), 1018 (s), 908 (m), 854 (m), 751 (m), 741 (m), 722 (w) cm^{-1} . Anal. Calcd (found) for $C_{33}H_{45}O_2S_2Li$: C, 72.75 (72.52); H, 8.33 (8.34%).

 $[2,6\text{-Trip},C_6H_3CS_2]$ **Li(py)**₃. Hexanes (75 mL) and Et₂O (25mL) were added to 2,6-Trip**2**C**6**H**3**I (5.94 g, 9.75 mmol) to give a clear colorless solution. The solution was cooled to -70 °C and BuLi (5.70 mL, 9.75 mmol) was added dropwise to form a cloudy white solution. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 0.5 h. After cooling to -70 °C, CS_2 (0.600 mL, 9.75 mmol) was added dropwise to give a cloudy pink solution. The reaction was warmed slowly to room temperature resulting in a brown solution. The volatile materials were removed under reduced pressure to afford a mustard yellow solid which was extracted into Et₂O (150 mL) and filtered. Pyridine (2 mL) was added, and the solution was concentrated to saturation. Cooling to -40 C yielded the product as a yellow solid (5.0 g, 64%). **¹** H NMR (CDCl**3**): δ 8.48 (m, 6H, α-*py*), 7.72 (m, 3H, γ-*py*), 7.28 (m, 6H, β-*py*), 7.2–7.1 (m, 3H, $m, p\text{-}C_6H_3$), 7.00 (s, 4H, $m\text{-}Trip$), 3.09 (sept, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 4H, *m*-C*H*Me**2**), 2.96 (sept, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H, *p*-C*H*Me**2**), 1.30 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 12H, *p*-CH*Me***2**), 1.20 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 12H, *o*-CH(Me)*Me*), 1.06 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 12H, *o*-CH(*Me*)Me). **¹³**C{**¹** H} NMR (CDCl**3**): δ 152.9, 150.0, 148.0, 146.9, 137.2, 137.1, 134.0, 130.1, 124.4, 123.7, 119.9, 34.4, 31.1, 26.4, 24.5, 23.2. IR: 3040 (w), 2954 (s), 2924 (s), 1594 (m), 1485 (w), 1461 (s), 1440 (s), 1377 (m), 1360 (w), 1067 (w), 1035 (w), 1021 (m), 1004 (w), 759 (w), 750 (w), 721 (w), 701 (m), 624 (w) cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd (found) for C**52**H**64**N**3**S**2**Li: C, 77.86 (77.16); H, 8.04 (7.95); N, 5.24 (5.20%).

 $[2,6\text{-}\text{Trip}_{2}C_{6}H_{3}CS_{2}]$ **Li(thf)**₃. This compound was prepared analogously to $[2,6$ -Trip₂C₆H₃CS₂]Li(py)₃ except that thf was used in place of pyridine (65% yield). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.10 $(t, J = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, p\text{-}C_6\text{H}_3)$, 7.04 (d, $J = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, m\text{-}C_6\text{H}_3)$, 6.89 (s, 4H, *m-Trip*), 3.50 (m, 12H, *thf*), 2.96 (br m, 4H, *m*-C*H*Me**2**), 2.81 (br m, 2H, *p*-C*H*Me**2**), 1.68 (m, 12H, *thf*), 1.19 (m, 24H), 1.00 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 12H). **¹³**C{**¹** H} NMR (CDCl**3**): δ 147.7, 146.8, 136.5, 133.5, 129.9, 123.5, 120.8, 119.8, 68.2, 34.1, 30.9, 26.2, 25.5, 24.2, 23.0. IR: 3038 (w), 2952 (s), 2925 (s), 2917 (s), 2871 (s), 2854 (s), 2727 (w), 2671 (w), 1461 (s), 1377 (s), 1316 (w), 1302 (w), 1261 (w), 1169 (w), 1070 (w), 1045 (m), 1019 (m), 940 (w), 913 (w), 892 (w), 871 (w), 803 (w), 759 (w), 722 (w) cm^{-1} .

 $[2,6-Trip_2C_6H_3CS_2]$ **2VCl(thf)**₂. Toluene (20 mL) was added to $[2,6-Trip_2C_6H_3CS_2]$ Li(thf)₃ (2.00 g, 2.56 mmol) and VCl₃(thf)₃ (0.433 g, 1.28 mmol) to form a dark burgundy solution. After stirring overnight, the volatile materials were removed under reduced pressure to give a dark residue which was extracted into hexanes (50 mL). The burgundy solution was filtered and concentrated to 20 mL. The addition of an equal volume of HMDSO resulted in the formation of fine burgundy crystals of product (0.49 g, 28%). **¹** H NMR (CDCl**3**): δ (ω**1/2**, Hz) 18.6 (45), 17.0 (50), 6.72 (400), 4.73 (125), 2.94 (100), 2.84 (115), 2.18 (105), 1.59 (650), 1.18 (280), 1.05 (300), 0.92 (50), 0.74 (300). IR: 2952 (s), 2928 (s), 2922 (s), 2854 (s), 1462 (s), 1378 (s), 1365 (m), 1315 (w), 1305 (w), 1225 (w), 996 (w), 932 (w), 873 (w), 806 (w), 764 (w), 722 (w) cm^{-1} . Anal. Calcd (found) for

Table 2 Crystallographic data and collection parameters

 $C_{82}H_{114}S_4VClO_2$: C, 73.15 (72.00); H, 8.53 (8.25%). $\mu_{eff}(CDCl_3)$ $= 2.9(1) \mu_{\rm B}$.

 $[2,6-Trip_2C_6H_3CS_2]$, $VCl(py)$, Toluene (20 mL) was added to $[2,6\text{-}\text{Trip}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{CS}_2]$ Li(py)₃ (0.383 g, 0.477 mmol) and VCl₃(thf)₃ (0.0807 g, 0.239 mmol) to give a dark red solution. The solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. The volatile materials were removed under reduced pressure to give a dark residue which was extracted into hexanes (50 mL) and filtered. The red-green filtrate was concentrated and cooled to -40 °C. The product was isolated as dark purple crystals (0.12 g, 35%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ω_{1/2}, Hz) 16.6 (60), 15.5 (400), 13.6 (150), 8.60 (40), 8.00 (70), 7.65 (40), 6.92 (300), 2.06 (140), 1.35 (85), 0.63 (100). IR: 3077 (m), 3051 (m), 3041 (m), 2953 (s), 2924 (s), 2859 (s), 1604 (m, *py*), 1580 (w, *py*), 1566 (w, *py*), 1485 (w), 1461 (s), 1445 (s), 1379 (s), 1360 (m), 1316 (w), 1237 (w), 1217 (w), 1102 (w), 1068 (w), 1044 (w), 1030 (w), 1019 (m), 1009 (m), 939 (m), 877 (m), 804 (w), 778 (w), 755 (m), 743 (w), 722 (w), 701 (m) , 694 (m) , 690 (m) , 690 (m) , 651 (w) cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd (found) for C**84**H**108**S**4**VClN**2**: C, 74.16 (73.68); H, 8.00 (7.62); N, 2.06 (2.17%). $\mu_{\text{eff}}(\text{CDCl}_3) = 2.8(1) \mu_{\text{B}}.$

 $[2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃CS₂]$ *2***TiCl₂(py).** Toluene (75 mL) was added to $[2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃CS₂]$ Li(thf)₃ (1.73 g, 2.21 mmol) and TiCl₄(thf)₂ (0.370 g, 1.11 mmol) to form a red solution. After a few minutes, pyridine (0.40 mL, 4.9 mmol) was added. After stirring overnight, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford a red solid. The solid was extracted with Et₂O (80 mL) and filtered through Celite. Concentration to 10 mL and cooling to -40 °C afforded the product as red crystals (1.11 g, 76.1%). **¹** H NMR (CDCl**3**): δ 8.80 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 2H, α-*Py*), 7.78 (t, 7.5 Hz, 1H, γ-*Py*), 7.34–7.27 (m, 4H, β-*Py p*-C**6**H**3**), 7.19 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 4H, *m*-C**6**H**3**), 6.80 (s, 8H, *m*-*Trip*), 2.78 (sept, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 4H, *p*-CHMe₂-Trip), 2.54 (sept, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 8H, *o*-C*H*Me**2**-Trip), 1.19 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 24H), 1.06 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 24H), 0.96 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 24H). **¹³**C{**¹** H} NMR (CDCl**3**): δ 152.3, 148.0, 146.5, 146.3, 139.0, 136.4, 133.8, 129.9, 127.8, 124.7, 120.1, 34.3, 31.0, 26.1, 24.2, 22.1. Anal. Calcd (found) for [2,6-Trip**2**C**6**H**3**CS**2**]**2**TiCl**2**(py)0.5Et**2**O: C**81**H**108**Cl**2**NO**0.5**S**4**Ti: C, 71.40 (72.02); H, 7.75 (8.06); N, 0.99 (1.04%).

 $[2,6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃CS₂]**TiCl**₂(thf)₂. Toluene (50 mL) was added to $[2,6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃CS₂]Li(Et₂O)₂ (2.10 g, 4.23 mmol) and TiCl₃(thf)₃ (1.57 g, 4.23 mmol) to form a teal solution. After stirring overnight, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was extracted with $Et₂O$ (50 mL)

and filtered. Concentration and cooling to -40 °C afforded the product as green-blue crystals (1.07 g, 36%). IR: 2952 (s), 2855 (s), 1461 (m), 1377 (s), 1015 (s), 1002 (s), 926 (w), 856 (m), 730 (m) cm¹ . Anal. Calcd (found) for C**37**H**51**Cl**2**O**2**S**2**Ti: C, 62.53 (62.49) ; H, 7.23 (7.00%). $\mu_{eff}(C_6D_6) = 1.6(1) \mu_B$.

X-Ray crystallography

Table 2 lists a summary of crystal data and collection parameters for all crystallographically characterized compounds. Single-crystal structure determinations were performed within the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry at the University of Colorado.

General procedure. Crystals were examined under a light hydrocarbon oil (Paratone-N) and mounted with silicone vacuum grease onto a thin glass fiber affixed to a tapered copper mounting-pin. This assembly was transferred to the goniometer of a Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer equipped with a locally modified LT-2A low-temperature apparatus. Cell parameters were determined using reflections harvested from 3 orthogonal sets of 20 0.3° Ω scans. A minimum of a hemisphere of data was collected using 0.3° Ω scans in two correlated exposures. All data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and a semi-empirical absorption correction was applied using SADABS.**¹⁸** Structure solutions and refinements were performed (SHELXTL-Plus V5.0) on $F^{2,18}$

CCDC reference numbers 196425–196428.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b2/b210691d/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Bruce C. Noll for for the structural determinations of $[2, 6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃CS₂]Li(Et₂O)₂ and $[2, 6$ -Mes₂C₆H₃CS₂]₂- $TiCl₂(thf)₂$ and the University of Colorado for funding.

References

- 1 (*a*) D. Coucouvanis, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 1970, **11**, 235–371; (*b*) R. Eisenberg, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 1970, **12**, 295–369; (*c*) D. Coucouvanis, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, **26**, 301–469.
- 2 W. Kaim and B. Schwederski, *Bioinorganic Chemistry: Inorganic Elements in the Chemistry of Life: An Introduction and Guide*, Wiley Interscience: New York, 1994.
- 3 Reviews of terphenyl-based ligands: (*a*) J. A. C. Clyburne and N. McMullen, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **210**, 73–99; (*b*) P. Power,

Chem. Rev., 1999, **99**, 3463–3503; (*c*) W. B. Tolman and L. Que, Jr., *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2002, 653–660.

- 4 K. Ruhlandt-Senge, J. J. Ellison, R. J. Wehmschulte, F. Pauer and P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 11353–11357.
- 5 S. C. Ball, I. Cragg-Hine, M. G. Davidson, R. P. Davies, P. R. Raithby and R. Snaith, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 1581–1582.
- 6 S. Kato, N. Kitaoka, O. Niyomura, Y. Kitoh, T. Kanada and M. Ebihara, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 496–506.
- 7 S. C. Ball, I. Cragg-Hine, M. G. Davidson, R. P. Davies, A. J. Edwards, I. Lopez-Solera, P. R. Raithby and R. Snaith, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 921–923.
- 8 K. Tatsumi, I. Matrubara, Y. Inoue, A. Nakamura, R. E. Cramer, G. J. Tagoshi, J. A. Golen and J. W. Gilje, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **29**, 4928–4938.
- 9 M. Colapietro, A. Vaciago, D. C. Bradley, M. B. Hursthouse and I. F. Rendall, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1972, 1052–1057.
- 10 M. T. Andras and S. A. Duraj, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 2874–2880.
- 11 W. L. Steffen, H. K. Chun and R. C. Fay, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, **17**, 3498–3503.
- 12 M. Bonamico, G. Dessy, V. Fares and L. Scaramuzza, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1974, 1258–1263.
- 13 D. F. Shriver, M. A. Dredzon, *The Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds*, Wiley-Interscience: New York, 2nd edn., 1986.
- 14 C.-J. F. Du, H. Harg and K.-K. D. Ng, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1986, **51**, 3162–3165.
- 15 B. Schiemenz and P. P. Power, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 958–964.
- 16 L. E. Manzer, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1982, **21**, 135–140.
- 17 (*a*) E. M. Schubert, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 1992, **69**, 62; (*b*) D. F. Evans, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1959, 2003–2005.
- 18 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, Program for area detector adsorption correction, Institute for Inorganic Chemistry, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1996; G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL: A Program for Crystal Structure Determination, Ver. 5.03, Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments, Madison, WI, 1995.